Red Funnel Staff Pension Scheme Implementation Statement Year Ending 31 January 2024

Glossary

ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance
Investment Adviser	First Actuarial LLP
LGIM	Legal & General Investment Management
Scheme	Red Funnel Staff Pension Scheme
Scheme Year	1 February 2023 to 31 January 2024
SIP	Statement of Investment Principles
UNPRI	United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment

Introduction

This Implementation Statement reports on the extent to which, over the Scheme Year, the Trustees have followed its policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme's investments. In addition, the Implementation Statement summarises the voting behaviour of the Scheme's investment managers and includes details of the most significant votes cast and the use of the services of proxy voting advisers.

In preparing this statement, the Trustees have considered guidance from the Department for Work & Pensions which was updated on 17 June 2022.

Relevant Investments

The Scheme's assets are invested in pooled funds and some of those funds include an allocation to equities. Where equities are held, the investment manager has the entitlement to vote.

At the end of the Scheme Year, the Scheme invested in the following funds which included an allocation to equities:

- Baillie Gifford Diversified Growth Fund
- BNY Mellon Real Return Fund
- LGIM World Equity Index Fund

The Trustees' Policy Relating to the Exercise of Rights

Summary of the Policy

The Trustees' policy in relation to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments is set out in the SIP, and a summary is as follows:

- On an ongoing basis the Trustees assess the stewardship and engagement activity of its investment managers.
- The Trustees have decided to invest in pooled funds, other collective investment vehicles, and cash. The Scheme does not invest directly in stocks, shares, bonds, derivatives etc.
- When selecting a fund, the Trustees consider amongst other things, the investment manager's policy in relation to the exercise of the rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments held within the fund.
- The Trustees have a policy of delegating all day-to-day powers of investment to the Investment Managers who are authorised and regulated under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. The safe custody of the Scheme's assets is delegated to professional custodians via the use of pooled vehicles.
- The Trustees expect their investment managers to exercise its ownership rights, including voting and engagement rights, in order to safeguard sustainable returns over this time frame. On an ongoing basis the Trustees assess the ESG integration capability of its investment managers.
- The Trustees expect their investment managers, when exercising discretion in investment decision making, to take financially material ESG factors into account.

Has the Policy Been Followed During the Scheme Year?

The Trustees' opinion is that its policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments has been followed during the Scheme Year. In reaching this conclusion, the following points were taken into consideration:

- There has been no change to the Trustees' belief regarding the importance of good stewardship.
- The Scheme's invested assets remained invested in pooled funds over the period.
- The Trustees did not select any new funds during the period.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustees considered the voting records of the investment managers over the period ending 31 January 2023.
- Since the end of the Scheme Year, analysis of the voting records of the investment managers based on the period ending 31 December 2023* has been undertaken as part of the work required to prepare this Implementation Statement. A summary of the key findings from that analysis is provided below.
- The investment managers used by the Scheme are signatories to the UNPRI.

*Note the voting analysis was over the year ending 31 December 2023 because managers only produce this data quarterly and this was the most suitable data to use for analysis in preparation of this statement. The Trustees are satisfied that the analysis provides a fair representation of the investment managers voting approach over the Scheme Year.

The Investment Managers Voting record

A summary of the investment managers voting record is shown in the table below.

Investment Manager	Number of votes	Split of votes:				
		For	Against / withheld	Did not vote/ abstained		
Baillie Gifford	14,000	90%	5%	6%		
Newton	27,000	84%	15%	2%		
LGIM	120,000	76%	24%	0%		

<u>Notes</u>

Newton is the manager of the BNY Mellon Real Return Fund

Split of votes may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

These voting statistics are based on each manager's full voting record over the 12 months to 31 December 2023 rather than votes related solely to the funds held by the Scheme.

Use of Proxy Voting Advisers

Investment Manager	Who is their proxy voting adviser?	How is the proxy voting adviser used?
Baillie Gifford	No Proxy Voting Adviser	All done in-house, Manager aims to participate in all votes
Newton	ISS	Only used in the case of a conflict of interest
LGIM	ISS and IVIS	ISS and IVIS provide research and ISS administer votes. However, all voting is determined by guidelines set by LGIM.

The Investment Managers Capital Voting Behaviour

The Trustees have reviewed the voting behaviour of the investment managers by considering the following:

- broad statistics of their voting record such as the percentage of votes cast for and against the recommendations of boards of directors (i.e. "with management" or "against management");
- the votes they cast in the year to 31 December 2023 on the most contested proposals in nine categories across the UK, the US and Europe;
- the investment managers policies and statements on the subjects of stewardship, corporate governance and voting.

The Trustees have also compared the voting behaviour of the investment managers with their peers over the same period.

Further details of the approach adopted by the Trustees for assessing voting behaviour are provided in the Appendix.

The Trustees' key observations are set out below.

Voting in Significant Votes

Based on information provided by the Trustees' Investment Adviser, the Trustees have identified significant votes in nine separate categories. The Trustees consider votes to be more significant if they are closely contested. i.e. close to a 50:50 split for and against. A closely contested vote indicates that shareholders considered the matter to be significant enough that it should not be simply "waved through". In addition, in such a situation, the vote of an individual investment manager is likely to be more important in the context of the overall result.

The five most significant votes in each of the nine categories based on shares held by the Scheme's investment managers are listed in the Appendix. In addition, the Trustees considered each investment manager's overall voting record in significant votes (i.e. votes across all stocks not just the stocks held within the funds used by the Scheme).

Analysis of Voting Behaviour

Baillie Gifford

The Trustees note that there has been no material change in Baillie Gifford's voting record over the last 12 months; the manager continues to display a tendency to support director proposals to a greater extent than is observed with many other investment managers.

Voting in line with management includes votes on remuneration – last year it appeared that the manager was taking a tougher stance, but Baillie Gifford now seems more inclined to vote with management on such matters.

The Trustees are aware of recent criticism of Baillie Gifford from activists which has resulted in the manager cancelling its sponsorship of several literary festivals. However, interpretation of Baillie Gifford's voting record provides evidence that the manager continues to be supportive towards shareholder proposals addressing ESG matters.

On balance, the Trustees have no material concerns regarding Baillie Gifford's voting record.

<u>LGIM</u>

The Trustees note that LGIM's voting record continues to compare very favourably with its peers. As in previous years, analysis of LGIM's voting record identifies clear evidence that the manager is willing to vote against company directors on a broad range of issues.

LGIM opposed several climate-related proposals based on an assessment that proposals put forward by a company's management did not go far enough.

The Trustees have no concerns regarding LGIM's voting record.

Newton (Fund manager of the BNY Mellon Real Return Fund)

The significant director proposals in which Newton participated provide clear evidence that the investment manager is willing to vote against management on a broad range of issues. It is fair to note though that many of Newton's peers voted in a similar way on these particular proposals.

Analysis of shareholder-brought proposals identifies that Newton seems to be more willing than some of its peers to use voting rights to support votes related to ESG issues.

The Trustees have no concerns regarding Newton's voting record.

Conclusion

Based on the analysis undertaken, the Trustees have no material concerns regarding the voting records of Baillie Gifford, BNY Mellon, and LGIM.

The Trustees will keep the voting actions of the investment managers under review, noting that in some instances there are areas that could still be improved.

Rosemary Kennell..... Date: 31 July 2024.....

Signed on behalf of the Trustees of the Red Funnel Staff Pension Scheme

Significant Votes

The table below records how the Scheme's investment managers voted in the most significant votes identified by the Trustees.

	Meeting Date	Proposal	Votes For (%)	Votes Against (%)	LGIM	Baillie Gifford	Newton
Audit & Reporting SCOTTISH AMERICAN INVESTMENT COMPANY PLC	06/04/2023	Re-appoint Ernst & Young LLP as Independent Auditor of the Company	49	50	For	Not held	Not held
NETAPP INC	13/09/2023	Appoint the Auditors	40	60	Against	Not held	Not held
TOPPS TILES PLC	18/01/2023	Appoint Mazars as the Auditors	63	37	For	Not held	Not held
VEOLIA ENVIRONNEMENT SA	27/04/2023	Appoint the Auditors	72	28	Against	Not held	Not held
INVESCO PERPETUAL UK SMALLER COMPANIES	08/06/2023	Re-appoint the auditor, Ernst & Young LLP	76	24	For	Not held	Not held
Shareholder Capital & Rights							
HAMMERSON PLC	04/05/2023	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	55	45	For	Not held	Not held
CITY OF LONDON INVESTMENT GROUP	23/10/2023	Issue Shares for Cash	55	44	For	Not held	Not held
		Issue Shares for Cash for the Purpose of Financing an Acquisition or Other Capital					
PLAYTECH PLC	24/05/2023	Investment	44	56	For	Not held	Not held
BLUEFIELD SOLAR INCOME FUND LIMITED	28/11/2023	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	44	56	Against	Not held	For
TOPPS TILES PLC	18/01/2023	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	63	37	For	Not held	Not held
	10/01/2025			57	101	Hotheid	Hotheid
Pay & Remuneration	00/05/0000			10			
WARNER BROS DISCOVERY INC WELLTOWER INC	08/05/2023	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	51 52	49	Against	Not held Not held	Not held
BIOGEN INC.	23/05/2023 26/06/2023	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	52	48 48	Against Against	Not held Not held	Not held Not held
PEARSON PLC	28/06/2023	Advisory vote on Executive Compensation Approve Remuneration Policy	52	48	Against Against	Not held	Not held
VISTRY GROUP PLC	18/05/2023	Approve the Remuneration Report	54	46	Against Against	Not held	Not held
	10/03/2023	nemanenation nepar	71	-+0	Against	Not field	Not field
Constitution of Company, Board & Advisers	04/05/2022	Elect Edward Jamieson - Executive Director	50	50	5	Newbeld	Mark Isold
DOMINO'S PIZZA GROUP PLC	04/05/2023	Elect Edward Jamieson - Executive Director Amend Articles: Virtual Meetings		50	For	Not held	Not held
SAMPO OYJ	17/05/2023	Amend Articles: Virtual Meetings Elect Reuben S. Leibowitz - Non-Executive Director	52 53	48 46	Against	Not held	Not held
SIMON PROPERTY GROUP INC. CME GROUP INC.	04/05/2023 04/05/2023	Elect Charles P. Carey - Non-Executive Director	55	46	Against Against	Not held Not held	Not held Not held
FLEETCOR TECHNOLOGIES INC	09/06/2023	Elect Thomas M. Hagerty	55	45	Against	Not held	Not held
	05/00/2025	LECC HIGHLIG IN. HIGHLY	55	-15	Against	Not field	NOTICIO
Merger, Acquisition, Sales & Finance							
FORESIGHT GROUP HOLDINGS LIMITED	10/08/2023	Approve the Waiver of Rule 9 Approve the Continuation of the Company	52	48	Against	Not held	Not held
EUROPEAN OPPORTUNITIES TRUST PLC	15/11/2023	Approve the Continuation of the Company	59	36	For	Not held	Not held
		Waiver of mandatory offer provisions set out in Rule 9 of the Takeover Code					
	10/07/2022		64	35	Against	Not hold	Not held
CALEDONIA INVESTMENTS PLC VINACAPITAL VIETNAM OPPORTUNITY FUND LTD	19/07/2023 06/12/2023	Approve that the Company Ceases to Continue as Currently Constituted	29	71	Against Against	Not held Not held	Not held
BOUYGUES SA	27/04/2023	Approve lisuance of Debt Securities Giving Access to New Shares of Subsidiaries	73	27	Against	Not held	Not held
					Ŭ		
Climate Related Resolutions GLENCORE PLC	26/05/2023	Approve the Company's 2022 Climate Report.	68	30	Annalization	Not held	Not held
Shell plc	23/05/2023	Approve the company's 2022 climate report. Approve Shell's Energy Transition	77	30 19	Against Against	Not held	Not held
UBS GROUP AG	05/04/2023	Say on Climate	81	15	For	Not held	Not held
TOTALENERGIES SE	26/05/2023	Say on Climate	86	11	Against	Not held	Not held
PENNON GROUP PLC	20/03/2023	Approve the Climate-related financial disclosures	88	10	For	Not held	Not held
	, .,						
Other Company Resolutions		Notice of General Meetings					
CITY OF LONDON INVESTMENT GROUP	23/10/2023	Notice of deficition interlings	61	39	For	Not held	Not held
TOPPS TILES PLC	18/01/2023	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	63	37	For	Not held	Not held
BOUYGUES SA	27/04/2023	Approve the Board to Issue Equity Warrants Free of Charge During the Period of a	74	26	Against	Not held	Not held
STV GROUP PLC	27/04/2023	Approve Political Donations	70	25	For	Not held	Not held
QUILTER PLC	18/05/2023	Approve Political Donations	75	25	For	Not held	Not held
Governance & Other Shareholder Resolutions							
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	25/04/2023	Simple Majority Voting	50	49	For	Not held	Not held
	12/04/2023	Right to Call Special Meetings	50	50	For	Not held	Not held
SYNOPSYS INC	25/05/2023	Annual Report on Lobbying Activities	50	49	For	Against	Not held
SYNOPSYS INC MCDONALD'S CORPORATION			50	50			
SYNOPSYS INC MCDONALD'S CORPORATION APPLIED MATERIALS INC	09/03/2023	Right to Call Special Meetings		50	For	Not held	Not held
SYNOPSYS INC MCDONALD'S CORPORATION	09/03/2023 25/05/2023	Right to Call Special Meetings Right to Call Special Meetings	50	49	For For	Not held Not held	Not held Not held
SYNOPSYS INC MCDONALD'S CORPORATION APPLIED MATERIALS INC	25/05/2023						
SYNOPSYS INC MCDONALD'S CORPORATION APPLIED MATERIALS INC THE MOSAIC COMPANY Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Resoluti STARBUCKS CORPORATION	25/05/2023 ions 23/03/2023		50	49		Not held For	Not held Not held
SYNOPSYS INC MCDONALD'S CORPORATION APPLIED MATERIALS INC THE MOSAIC COMPANY Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Resolut	25/05/2023	Right to Call Special Meetings Assessment of Worker Rights Commitments Racial and Gender Pay Gaps	50	49	For	Not held	Not held
SYNOPSYS INC MCDONALD'S CORPORATION APPLIED MATERIALS INC THE MOSAIC COMPANY Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Resoluti STARBUCKS CORPORATION	25/05/2023 ions 23/03/2023	Right to Call Special Meetings Assessment of Worker Rights Commitments	50	49	For For	Not held For	Not held Not held
SYNOPSYS INC MCDONALD'S CORPORATION APPLIED MATERIALS INC THE MOSAIC COMPANY Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Resolut STARBUCKS CORPORATION THE KROGER CO.	25/05/2023 ions 23/03/2023 22/06/2023	Right to Call Special Meetings Assessment of Worker Rights Commitments Racial and Gender Pay Gaps	50 51 52	49 47 48	For For For	Not held For Not held	Not held Not held Not held

<u>Note</u>

Where an investment manager's voting record has not been provided for each fund, reliance is placed on periodic stock holding information to identify votes relevant to the fund. This means it is possible that some of the votes listed above may relate to companies that were not held within a pooled fund at the date of the vote. Equally, it is possible that there are votes not included above which relate to companies that were held within a fund at the date of the vote.

Methodology for Determining Significant Votes

The methodology used to identify significant votes for this statement uses an objective measure of significance: the extent to which a vote was contested - with the most Significant Votes being those which were most closely contested.

The Trustees believe that this is a good measure of significance because, firstly, a vote is likely to be contentious if it is finely balanced, and secondly, in voting on the Trustees' behalf in a finely balanced vote, an investment manager's action will have more bearing on the outcome.

If the analysis was to rely solely on identifying closely contested votes, there is a chance many votes would be on similar topics which would not help to assess an investment manager's entire voting record. Therefore, the assessment incorporates a thematic approach; splitting votes into nine separate categories and then identifying the most closely contested votes in each of those categories.

A consequence of this approach is that the total number of Significant Votes is large. This is helpful for assessing an investment manager's voting record in detail but it presents a challenge when summarising the Significant Votes in this statement. Therefore, for practical purposes, the table on the previous page only includes summary information on each of the Significant Votes.

The Trustees have not provided the following information which DWP's guidance suggests could be included in an Implementation Statement:

- Approximate size of the Scheme's holding in the company as at the date of the vote.
- If the vote was against management, whether this intention was communicated by the investment manager to the company ahead of the vote.
- An explanation of the rationale for the voting decision, particularly where: there was a
 vote against the board; there were votes against shareholder proposals; a vote was
 withheld; or the vote was not in line with voting policy.
- Next steps, including whether the investment manager intends to escalate stewardship efforts.

The Trustees are satisfied that the approach used ensures that the analysis covers a broad range of themes and that this increases the likelihood of identifying concerns about an investment manager's voting behaviour. The Trustees have concluded that this approach provides a more informative assessment of an investment manager's overall voting approach than would be achieved by analysing a smaller number of votes in greater detail.

Investment Manager Voting Policies

For more information concerning an investment manager's voting policies and rationale, please visit the below links:

<u>Baillie Gifford</u> - https://www.bailliegifford.com/en/uk/intermediaries/literature-library/corporate-governance/our-stewardship-approach-esg-principles-and-guidelines/

LGIM - https://vds.issgovernance.com/vds/#/MjU2NQ==/

<u>Newton</u> – https://www.newtonim.com/uk-institutional/responsible-investment/voting/